

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/750,579 | 12/29/2003 | James Heggestuen | 1001.1712101 | 8583 | |
| 28075 | 7590 09/22/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| | CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC | | | MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H | |
| 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55403-2420 | | 3738 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|
| | | 10/750,579 | HEGGESTUEN ET AL. | - | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | William H. Matthews (Howie) | 3738 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or of | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc | epted or b) ☐ objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | |). | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| - | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receiv | ea. | 1 | | | |
| Attachmo | nt(e) | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summar | y (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notic | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail [| Date | | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12 and 23-25, drawn to methods of making catheters, classified in class 156, subclass 148+.
 - II. Claims 13-15,19-22, drawn to catheter, classified in class 606, subclass108+.
 - III. Claims 16-18, drawn to stents, classified in class 623, subclass 1.46.
 - IV. Claims 26-33, drawn to method of implanting a stent, classified in class623, subclass 1.23.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II and III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as method of making angioplasty catheter. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/750,579 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/750,579

Art Unit: 3738

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number is 571-272-4753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,579 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William H. Matthews (Howie)

Examiner Art Unit 3738